

Theory, theorizing and theoretical contribution

PhD course at LUT

conducted by Prof Kenneth Husted and Prof Snejina Michailova

June 8 -10, 2015

Compulsory readings

1. Bacharach, S.B. 1989. Organizational theories: Some criteria for evaluation. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4): 496-515.
2. Corley, K.G. & Gioia, D.A. 2011. Building theory about theory building: What constitutes a theoretical contribution? *Academy of Management Review*, 36(1): 12-32.
3. Davis, M.S. 1971. That's interesting! Towards a phenomenology of sociology and a sociology of phenomenology. *Philosophy of the Social Sciences*, 1: 309-344.
4. Edmondson, A.C. & McManus, S.E. 2007. Methodological fit in management field research. *Academy of Management Review*, 32(4): 1155–1179.
5. Ferris, G.R., Hochwarter, W.A & Buckley, M.R. 2012. Theory in the organizational sciences: How will we know it when we see it? *Organizational Psychology Review*, 2(1): 94-106.
6. Okhuysen, G., & Bonardi, J. 2011. Editors' comments: The challenges of building theory by combining lenses. *Academy of Management Review*, 36: 6–11.
7. Suddaby, R. 2010. Construct clarity in theories of management and organization. *Academy of Management Review*, 35(3): 346-357.
8. Sutton, R.I. & Staw, B.M. 1995. What theory is not. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 40(3): 371-384.
9. Van Maanen, J., Sorensen, J. & Mitchell, T. 2007. The interplay between theory and method. *Academy of Management Review*, 32: 1145–1154.
10. Shapira, Z. 2011. I've got a theory paper – do you?: Conceptual, empirical, and theoretical contributions to knowledge in the organizational sciences. *Organization Science*, 22(5): 1312 - 1321.

Additional readings:

1. Gregor, S. 2006. The nature of theory in information systems. *MIS Quarterly*, 30(3): 611-642.
2. Halbesleben, J., Wheeler, A. & Buckley, M. 2004. The influence of great theoretical works on subsequent empirical work. *Management Decision*, 42: 1210–1225.
3. Hambrick, D. 2007. The field of management's devotion to theory: Too much of a good thing? *Academy of Management Journal*, 50: 1346–1352.
4. Hillman, A. 2011. Editors' comments: What is the future of theory? *Academy of Management Review*, 36: 606-608.
5. Klein, K.J., Dansereau, F. & Hall, R.J. 1994. Levels issues in theory development, data collection, and analysis. *Academy of Management Review*, 19(2): 195-229.
6. LePine, J. & Wilcox-King, A. 2010. Editors' comments: Developing novel theoretical insight from reviews of existing theory and research. *Academy of Management Review*, 35: 506–509.
7. McKinley, W. 2010. Organizational theory development: Displacement of ends? *Organization Studies*, 31: 47-68.

8. Oswick, C., Fleming, P. & Hanlon, G. 2011. From borrowing to blending: Rethinking the processes of organizational theory building. *Academy of Management Review*, 36: 318–337.
9. Weick, K.E. 1995. What theory is not, theorizing is. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 40(3): 385-390.
10. Whetten, D. 2009. An examination of the interface between context and theory applied to the study of Chinese organizations. *Management and Organization Review*, 5: 29–55.